

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/762,497	04/20/2001	Shojiro Kawakami	FUK-81	4970	
22855	7590 11/21/2003		EXAMINER		
RANDALL J. KNUTH P.C.			CURTIS, CRAIG		
3510-A STELLHORN ROAD FORT WAYNE, IN 46815-4631			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2872	2872	
			DATE MAILED: 11/21/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/762,497	KAWAKAMI ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Craig H. Curtis	2872				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 08 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in the control of	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
 a)	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	statutory period for reply originally set in	the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						

Œ

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claim 1, in particular, has not be in amended to overcome its rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, set forth in the outstanding Office action.

Andrey Chang Primary Examiner Technology Center 2800

Andrey Chang Primary Examiner Technology Center 2800